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#### Abstract

Starting with an $N$ body system describing a Bose gas at finite temperatures, we derive a new model that contains a GrossPitaevskii equation of motion for the condensate wave function and a quantum Boltzmann equation for the excitations. The model is valid for a wide range of finite temperatures and approaches the standard ZNG model when the temperature of the system $T$ is close to the Bose-Einstein Condensate (BEC) transition temperature $T_{c}$.


## 1. Introduction

The realization of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in trapped atomic vapors of ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$ [5], ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}[2]$ and ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Li}$ [3 has initiated a period of intense theoretical and experimental research. The experimental results need a theoretical support which takes into account the coupled nonequilibrium dynamics of both the BEC and the thermal cloud of the Bose gas under investigation. In the pioneering work [10, 12, 11, Kirkpatrick and Dorfman (KD) started to develop such a theory, based on the Bogoliubov mean field approach. Their theory includes a mean field kinetic equation for the thermal cloud that describes the relaxation in terms of "collisions" between excitations. This theory was then extended by Zaremba, Nikuni and Griffin [23], in which the full coupling system of a quantum Boltzmann equation for the density function of the normal fluid/thermal cloud and a Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the wavefunction of the BEC has been introduced. The model is named ZNG, after the authors. Independently, the same mean field model was also derived by Pomeau, Brachet, Métens and Rica [22] (PBMR) by a different method. Since our work is mainly focused on the mean field approach, we refer the readers to the book [15] for further discussions on the other theories.

The ZNG model has been remarkably successful in describing a wide range of BEC phenomena [6. In the ZNG theory, there are two types of collisional processes: the $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ interactions between the condensate and the excited atoms and the $2 \leftrightarrow 2$ interactions between the excited atoms themselves. A third, previously missing, collisional process, which

[^0]takes into account $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ type collisions between the excitations, has been suggested by Reichl and Gust [7, 19].

In the previous work [21], we have provided a mathematical justification of the new collisional process as well as a unified framework to explain the origins of all the three collision operators. The mathematical justification of 21 is based on a precise calculation of all of commutators for the Bogoliubov excitations in the system, without dropping any terms. In [21], it has also been shown that the $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ collision operator indeed becomes important at lower temperature ranges, while being negligible when $T$ is closed to $T_{c}$. Experimental evidence for this new collision operator has also been provided in [14, 8, 18].

The goal of our work is to mathematically derive a new mean field coupling system, with all the three collision operators, at all finite temperature ranges, based on the framework provided in 21]. This model becomes the standard ZNG model when the temperature of the system is high enough. In our derivation, we have tried to rely on exact mathematical computations, in which, most of the terms are kept and only a few approximations are employed. Before our work, another lower temperature system, in which only the $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ collision is included, was also derived by Imamovic-Tomasovic and Griffin (IG) [9], motivated by the reason that the ZNG model is based on particle-like Hatree-Fock excitations and ultimately breaks down at low temperatures as its thermal excitations do not include the phonon part of the Bogoliubov spectrum. Since in [9], the two collisional processes $2 \leftrightarrow 2$ and $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ are both missing, the IG model does not approach the ZNG model when $T \approx T c$.

## The coupling system.

We will now write our final system, that couples the generalized GrossPitaevski and the quantum Boltzmann equations, in the local rest frame. The derivation of this system will be given in the next sections.

Denote by $n_{c}(x, t)$ and $\phi(x, t)$, the local density of particles in the condensate and the condensate phase, and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon=n_{c}^{1 / 2} \exp (i \phi) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalized Gross-Pitaevski equation.
The generalized Gross-Pitaevski equation reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \hbar \partial_{t} \Upsilon(x, t)=\left[-\quad \frac{\hbar^{2} \nabla^{2}}{2 m}+g\left[n_{c}(x, t)+2 \tilde{n}(x, t)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad+U(x)-\frac{i \hbar}{2 n_{c}} \quad \int^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p C_{12}[f](x, p, t)\right] \Upsilon(x, t) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{n}(x, t)=\int^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p f(x, p, t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above equations, $f(x, p, t)$ is the solution of the quantum Boltzmann equation (5), the operator $C_{12}$ can be found in (5), $\hbar$ is the reduced

Planck constant, $g$ is the interaction coupling constant, $U(x)$ is the confinement potential, $\int^{\prime}$ stands for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{O\}}, m$ is the mass of the particles. The velocity of the condensate is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=\frac{\hbar}{m} \nabla \phi(x, t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantum Boltzmann equation.
The quantum Boltzmann equation for the density $f(x, p, t)$ of the non-condensate atoms reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{\hbar} \nabla_{p}\left(\omega_{p}+\hbar p \cdot v\right) \cdot \nabla_{x}-\frac{1}{\hbar} \nabla_{x}\left(\omega_{p}+\hbar p \cdot v\right) \cdot \nabla_{p}\right) f \\
& =C_{12}[f]+C_{22}[f]+C_{31}[f] \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{p}$ is the Bogoliubov dispersion relation defined in 18 and the forms of $C_{12}, C_{22}, C_{31}$ are given explicitly below

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{12}[f](p)=\frac{4 \pi g^{2} n_{c}}{\hbar(2 \pi)^{3}} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p_{1} \mathrm{~d} p_{2} \mathrm{~d} p_{3} \delta\left(p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3}\right) \\
& \times\left(\delta\left(p-p_{1}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{2}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{3}\right)\right) \delta\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}\right) \\
& \times\left(K_{1,2,3}^{1,2}\right)^{2}\left[f_{2} f_{3}\left(f_{1}+1\right)-f_{1}\left(f_{2}+1\right)\left(f_{3}+1\right)\right]  \tag{6}\\
& C_{22}[f](p)=\frac{\pi g^{2}}{\hbar(2 \pi)^{6}} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} f^{\prime} f^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p_{1} \mathrm{~d} p_{2} \mathrm{~d} p_{3} \mathrm{~d} p_{4} \\
& \times\left(\delta\left(p-p_{1}\right)+\delta\left(p-p_{2}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{3}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{4}\right)\right) \\
& \times \delta\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right) \delta\left(p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{3}-p_{4}\right)\left(K_{1,2,3,4}^{2,2}\right)^{2} \\
& \times\left[f_{3} f_{4}\left(f_{2}+1\right)\left(f_{1}+1\right)-f_{1} f_{2}\left(f_{3}+1\right)\left(f_{4}+1\right)\right] \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{31}[f](t, p)=\frac{3 \pi g^{2}}{\hbar(2 \pi)^{6}} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p_{1} \mathrm{~d} p_{2} \mathrm{~d} p_{3} \mathrm{~d} p_{4}  \tag{8}\\
& \times\left(\delta\left(p-p_{1}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{2}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{3}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{4}\right)\right) \\
& \times \delta\left(p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3}-p_{4}\right) \delta\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(K_{1,2,3,4}^{3,1}\right)^{2} \\
& \times\left[f_{3} f_{4} f_{2}\left(f_{1}+1\right)-f_{1}\left(f_{2}+1\right)\left(f_{3}+1\right)\left(f_{4}+1\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

in which $\omega_{i}, f_{i}$ stand for $\omega\left(p_{i}\right), f\left(p_{i}\right), p \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{O\}$ is the 3-dimensional non-zero momentum variable. In the above collision operators, the kernels are defined as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{1,2,3}^{1,2}= & u_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}} u_{p_{3}}-v_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}} v_{p_{3}}-u_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}} v_{p_{3}} \\
& +v_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}} u_{p_{3}}-u_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}} u_{p_{3}}+v_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}} v_{p_{3}}  \tag{9}\\
K_{1,2,3,4}^{2,2}= & u_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}} u_{p_{3}} u_{p_{4}}+u_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}} u_{p_{3}} v_{p_{4}}+u_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}} v_{p_{3}} u_{p_{4}} \\
+ & v_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}} v_{p_{3}} u_{p_{4}}+v_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}} u_{p_{3}} v_{p_{4}}+v_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}} v_{p_{3}} v_{p_{4}} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1,2,3,4}^{3,1}=2\left[u_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}} v_{p_{3}} u_{p_{4}}+v_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}} u_{p_{3}} v_{p_{4}}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u_{p}$ and $v_{p}$ being defined in 19 .
When $T \approx T_{c}$, the Bogoliubov dispersion relation can be approximated by the Hatree-Fock energy. In this regime, $u_{p} \backsim 1$ and $v_{p} \backsim 0$. Therefore, $K_{1,2,3}^{1,2} \backsim 1, K_{1,2,3,4}^{2,2} \backsim 1$, while $K_{1,2,3,4}^{3,1} \backsim 0$. As a result, when $T \approx T_{c}, C_{31}$ is negligible while the two collision operators $C_{12}$ and $C_{22}$ dominate the collisional processes. In lower temperature regimes, both $u_{p}$ and $v_{p}$ are large, making all quantities $K_{1,2,3}^{1,2}, K_{1,2,3,4}^{2,2}, K_{1,2,3,4}^{3,1}$ large. Thus, the contribution of all collision operators $C_{12}, C_{22}$ and $C_{31}$ needs to be taken into account. It is discussed in [14][Section 8.2.3] that using all the three collision operators $C_{12}, C_{22}$ and $C_{31}$, the speed of both the fast mode and the slow mode can be computed and they turn out to approach finite values in the limit $T \rightarrow 0 \mathrm{~K}$. using all the three collision operators $C_{12}, C_{22}$ and $C_{31}$, the speed of both the fast mode and the slow mode can be computed and they turn out to approach finite values in the limit $T \rightarrow 0 \mathrm{~K}$. This computation is consistent with the findings of Lee and Yang [13] using a very different approach. Moreover, it is also discussed in [14] that the value of the sound mode lifetime, computed by using all the three collision operators $C_{12}, C_{22}$ and $C_{31}$, is consistent with that reported in the Steinhauer experiment [20].

In the integral on the momenta $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash\{O\}} \mathrm{d} p$, the origin is removed due to the fact that the condensate has been factored out in the Bogoliubov diagonalization. In a mathematical point of view, if the origin is not removed from the domain of integration, the solution can develop a singular part supported at $\{O\}$.

## 2. The quantum system and the three unitary TRANSFORMATIONS

To derive the coupling system (1)-(11), we consider a system of weakly interacting, spinless bosons at finite temperatures. We introduce the boson field operator $\hat{\Psi}(x)$, and its conjugate $\hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(x)$. These operators satisfy the the commutation relation $\left[\hat{\Psi}(x), \hat{\Psi}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]=\left[\hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(x), \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]=$ $0 ;\left[\hat{\Psi}(x), \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]=\delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)$. The Hamiltonian of the system is now written
$\hat{H}=\int_{\mathbb{T}_{L}^{d}} \mathrm{~d} x \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(x)\left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla^{2}+U(x)+\frac{1}{2} \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(x) \mathcal{V}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \hat{\Psi}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right] \hat{\Psi}(x)$,
where $\mathbb{T}_{L}^{3}$ is the 3-dimensional periodic torus $\left[-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}\right]^{3} ; \mathcal{V}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is the interaction potential between two particles at locations $x, x^{\prime}$. We also take $\mathcal{V}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=g \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)$. Inserting these two forms for the external
and interaction potentials into $\sqrt[12]{ }$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\hat{H}^{\prime}+\hat{V} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{\prime}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \int \mathrm{~d} x \hat{\nabla} \Psi^{\dagger}(x) \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}(x) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}=\frac{g}{2} \int \mathrm{~d} x \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\Psi}(x) \hat{\Psi}(x) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the shorthand notations $\int_{\mathbb{T}_{L}^{d}}=\int$.
We introduce the non-equilibrium statistical density operator $\hat{\rho}(t)$ of the spatially inhomogeneous Bose gas at time $t$. This density operator then satisfies the quantum Liouville equation

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}]
$$

We follow the approach of Kirkpatrick and Dorfman [10, 12]. First, we introduce the following local unitary transformations to the quantum Liouville equation. The first unitary operator changes the reference frame of the system to one in which the superfluid velocity is zero

$$
\hat{O}_{1}[\phi]=\exp \left[-i \int \mathrm{~d} x^{\prime} \phi\left(x^{\prime}, t\right) \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right) \hat{\Psi}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right] .
$$

The second unitary transformation replaces the boson field operators in the Hamiltonians by new ones without the contribution of the condensate

$$
\hat{O}_{2}\left[n_{c}\right]=\exp \left[\int \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}\left[\hat{\Psi}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)-\hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right] n_{c}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right] .
$$

Now, we write the time-dependent field operator $\hat{\Psi}$ as the sum of a condensate part $\Phi$ and a non-condensate part $\hat{\psi}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Psi}(x, t)=\hat{\psi}(x, t)+\Phi(x, t) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the assumption that the difference between the condensate field operator and the average value are approximately the same in the thermodynamics limit, we replace $\Phi(x, t)$ by the c-number $n_{c}^{1 / 2}(x, t) \exp (i \phi(x, t))$. Thus, $\hat{\psi}(x, t)$ can be expressed as follows

$$
\hat{O}_{1}^{\dagger} \hat{O}_{2}^{\dagger} \hat{\Psi} \hat{O}_{2} \hat{O}_{1}=\hat{\Psi} \exp [-i \phi]-n_{c}^{1 / 2}=\hat{\psi} \exp [-i \phi]
$$

Using the above two unitary transformations, we obtain the Liouville equation for the density operator $\hat{\rho}^{\prime}$ of the non-condensate part in the superfluid rest-frame

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}^{\prime}}{\partial t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left[\hat{H}^{\prime \prime}, \hat{\rho}^{\prime}\right]
$$

We introduce the last unitary transformation - the local Bogoliubov transformation, which changes the field operators from those for particles
to those for Bogoliubov excitations. To this end, we define the Wigner operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(x, p)=\int \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}\left[\exp \left(i p \cdot x^{\prime}\right)\right] \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}\left(x+x^{\prime} / 2\right) \hat{\psi}\left(x-x^{\prime} / 2\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\hat{\rho}^{\prime}$ is defined in the superfluid rest-frame, we can define the Fourier series of the fields operators, under the assumption that the gas is slightly inhomogeneous in space

$$
\hat{\psi}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \sum_{p}^{\sim} \exp (i k p \cdot x) \hat{a}_{p}
$$

where we employ the shorthand notation $\tilde{\sum}_{p}=\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{L}^{d}, p \neq 0}$, with $\mathbb{Z}_{L}^{3}=$ $(\mathbb{Z} / L)^{3}$ and $\Omega$ is the volume of the box under consideration.

We now define the Bogoliubov unitary transformation operator

$$
\hat{O}_{3}(x, t)=\exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p}^{\tilde{v_{p}}} \vartheta_{p}(x, t)\left(\hat{a}_{p} \hat{a}_{-p}-\hat{a}_{p}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{-p}^{\dagger}\right)\right] .
$$

In the above formulation, $\vartheta_{p}(x, t)$ depends on $\tilde{\omega}_{p}$, which, by neglecting completely the quantum pressure, can be approximated by the Bogoliubov dispersion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}_{p} \approx \omega_{p}=\left[\frac{g n_{c} \hbar^{2}}{m} p^{2}+\left(\frac{\hbar^{2} p^{2}}{2 m}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is often referred to as Thomas-Fermi approximation [4, 6. We now write down the Bogoliubov excitation annihilation and creation operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}_{p}=u_{p} \hat{b}_{p}-v_{p} \hat{b}_{-p}^{\dagger}, \quad \hat{a}_{p}^{\dagger}=u_{p} \hat{b}_{p}^{\dagger}-v_{p} \hat{b}_{-p} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{p}, v_{p}=\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p}+g n_{c}}{2 \omega_{p}} \pm \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& u_{p}=\cosh \vartheta_{p}, v_{p}=-\sinh \vartheta_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also define the new statistical density operator $\hat{\rho}_{b}(x, t)$ for the Bogoliubov excitations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\rho}_{b}(x, t)=\hat{O}_{3}(x, t) \hat{\rho}^{\prime}(t) \hat{O}_{3}^{\dagger}(x, t) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We arrive at a new quantum Liouville equation for the Bogoliubov excitations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_{b}}{\partial t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left[\hat{H}_{b}, \hat{\rho}_{b}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $\hat{H}_{b}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{b}=\hat{H}_{T, 1}+\hat{H}_{T, 2}+\hat{H}_{2}+\hat{H}_{3}+\hat{H}_{4} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\hat{H}_{T, 1}, \hat{H}_{T, 2}$ will contribute to the transport part of the kinetic equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{H}_{T, 1}=\sum_{p}^{\sim} \omega_{p} \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p}+\hbar \sum_{p}(v \cdot p) \hat{b}_{p}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p} \\
& \hat{H}_{T, 2}=\sum_{j, l=1}^{3} \sum_{p_{1}, p_{2}}^{\sim} \frac{\partial v_{j}}{\partial x_{l}} \frac{\hbar}{2 V} \int \mathrm{~d} x^{\prime}\left(x_{l}^{\prime}-x_{l}\right) \exp \left[i x^{\prime} \cdot\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \times\left(p_{1 j}+p_{2 j}\right)\left[\left(u_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}}-v_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}}\right) \hat{b}_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{2}}-u_{p_{2}} v_{p_{1}} \hat{b}_{-p_{1}} \hat{b}_{p_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-u_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}} \hat{b}_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-p_{2}}\right]+\sum_{j, l=1}^{3} \tilde{\sum_{p_{1}, p_{2}}} \frac{\partial n_{c}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{g}{2 V} \int \mathrm{~d} x^{\prime}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}-x_{j}\right) \\
& \times \exp \left[i x^{\prime} \cdot\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)\right]\left[2 \left(u_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}}-u_{p_{2}} v_{p_{1}}-u_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+v_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}}\right) \hat{b}_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{2}}+\left(u_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}}+v_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}}-2 u_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}}\right) \hat{b}_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-p_{2}}^{\dagger} \\
& \left.\quad+\left(u_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}}+v_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}}-2 u_{p_{2}} v_{p_{1}}\right) \hat{b}_{-p_{1}} \hat{b}_{p_{2}}\right] \\
& -\frac{i \hbar}{2} \frac{\partial\left(n_{c} v_{j}\right)}{\partial x_{j}} \sum_{p}^{\sim} \frac{\partial \vartheta_{p}}{\partial n_{c}}\left(\hat{b}_{p} \hat{b}_{-p}-\hat{b}_{p}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-p}^{\dagger}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Define the distribution function for the Bogoliubov excitations by

$$
f(x, p, t)=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\rho}_{b}(x, t) \hat{f}(x, t)\right]=\langle\hat{f}(x, t)\rangle
$$

it follows from the quantum Liouville equation (21) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f(x, p, t)}{\partial t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{q \neq \pm 2 p} \exp (i q \cdot x)\left\langle\left[\hat{b}_{p-q / 2}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p+q / 2}, \hat{H}_{b}\right]\right\rangle \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{2}, \hat{H}_{3}, \hat{H}_{4}$ will only contribute to the collisional processes, similar to the spatial homogeneous case [21]. As a result, the techniques introduced in [21] can be reused to derive the collision operators. The explicit forms of $\hat{H}_{2}, \hat{H}_{3}, \hat{H}_{4}$ will be given in the Appendix.

Notice that in the superfluid rest frame, the condensate wave function $\Phi(x, t)$ becomes $n_{c}(x, t)^{1 / 2}$, and the phase $\phi(x, t)$ is removed. As a result, instead of writing the equation for the dynamics of $\Phi(x, t)$, we write the equation for $\Upsilon=\Phi \exp (i \phi)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \hbar \frac{\partial \Upsilon(x, t)}{\partial t}=\left(-\frac{\hbar \nabla^{2}}{2 m}+g n_{c}(x, t)+2 g \tilde{n}(x, t)+U(x)\right)  \tag{25}\\
& \times \Upsilon(x, t)+g \tilde{m}(x, t) \Upsilon^{*}(x, t)+g\left\langle\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(x, t) \hat{\psi}(x, t) \hat{\psi}(x, t)\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{n}(x, t)=\left\langle\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(x, t) \hat{\psi}(x, t)\right\rangle$ is the non-equilibrium non-condensate density, $\tilde{m}(x, t)=\langle\hat{\psi}(x, t) \hat{\psi}(x, t)\rangle$ is the off-diagonal non-condensate density. All of the terms in $(25)$ are non-zero due to the Bose broken symmetry.

## 3. The quantum Boltzmann equation

If we replace the right hand side of $(24)$ by $\hat{H}_{T, 1}$ and label this term by $\mathcal{A}$, we find

$$
\mathcal{A}=\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{q \neq \pm 2 p} \exp (i q \cdot x)\left[\omega_{p+q / 2}-\omega_{p-q / 2}+\hbar q \cdot v\right]\left\langle\hat{b}_{p-q / 2}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p+q / 2}\right\rangle
$$

We now introduce the key approximation of our derivation. We suppose that the hydrodynamic variables are slowly varying in space and time. As a result, we will expand the microscopic quantities $n_{c}$ and $v$ about their values in $x$ and the gradients $\left|\nabla_{x} n_{c}(x, t)\right|^{k},\left|\nabla_{x} v(x, t)\right|^{k}$ become the parameters describing the smallness in our asymptotic expansion. We denote these smallness parameters by $O\left(|\nabla|^{k}\right)$, which are the only smallness parameters being used. Now, in (26), expanding $\omega_{p+q / 2}-$ $\omega_{p-q / 2}$ and $v$ in powers of $q$, we obtain the approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}}\left(\omega_{p}+\hbar p \cdot v\right) \frac{\partial f(x, p, t)}{\partial x_{j}}+O(|\nabla|) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if we replace the right hand side of (24) by $\hat{H}_{T, 2}$ and label this term by $\mathcal{B}$, the same asymptotic expansion also gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\omega_{p}+\hbar p \cdot v\right) \frac{\partial f(x, p, t)}{\partial p_{j}}+O(|\nabla|) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above two approximations give the transport part of the kinetic equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{T}[f]=  \tag{28}\\
= & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{\hbar} \nabla_{p}\left(\omega_{p}+\hbar p \cdot v\right) \cdot \nabla_{x}-\frac{1}{\hbar} \nabla_{x}\left(\omega_{p}+\hbar p \cdot v\right) \cdot \nabla_{p}\right) f .
\end{align*}
$$

The derivation of the collision operators from $\hat{H}_{2}, \hat{H}_{3}$ and $\hat{H}_{4}$ follows verbatim the argument of the homogeneous case [21], based on the method by Akhiezer and Peletminskii [1]. We note that KirkpartrickDorfman's method [12] is also based on the same principles. Indeed, the effects of $\hat{H}_{2}$ and $\hat{H}_{3}$ have already been studied in [21, Sections IV, V]. On the other hand, the role of $\hat{H}_{4}$ is exactly the same as that of $\hat{H}_{1,1}, \hat{H}_{3,1}^{\prime}$ and, therefore, is negligible, following [21, Section IV]. As a consequence, we will not repeat the derivation of the collision operators here, but rather recall the main ideas of the computations. The key assumption of the Akhiezer-Peletminskii method is that in the long time $t \gg t_{0} \equiv r_{0} / v_{0}$, where $r_{0}$ is the radius of the initial correlations and $v_{0}$ is the average quasi-particle velocity, the state of the system of weakly interacting Bogoliubov quasiparticles is played by the
single-particle density matrix. By applying twice the quantum Liouville equation (24), we arrive at a new form of the type $\left\langle\left[\hat{H}_{2}+\hat{H}_{3}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\hat{H}_{4},\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}, \hat{H}_{2}+\hat{H}_{3}+\hat{H}_{4}\right]\right]\right\rangle$. We then compute all of the commutators, without doing any approximations by dropping terms. Among all of the possible combinations, there are only three that really contribute into the collisional processes $\left\langle\left[\hat{H}_{1,2},\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}, \hat{H}_{1,2}\right]\right\rangle,\left\langle\left[\hat{H}_{2,2},\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}, \hat{H}_{2,2}\right]\right\rangle\right.\right.$ and $\left\langle\left[\hat{H}_{3,1},\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}, \hat{H}_{3,1}\right]\right\rangle\right.$, while the other terms can be proved to vanish due to the violation of the conservation of energy. As a result, the $C_{12}$ collision operator arises from commutators of the type $\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b},\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}, \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} \hat{b}\right]\right]$ and $\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} \hat{b},\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}, \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}\right]\right]$, coming from $\left\langle\left[\hat{H}_{1,2},\left[\hat{b^{\dagger}} \hat{b}, \hat{H}_{1,2}\right]\right\rangle\right.$. The $C_{22}$ collision operator arises from commutators of the type $\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} \hat{b},\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}, \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} \hat{b}\right]\right]$, coming from $\left\langle\left[\hat{H}_{2,2},\left[\hat{b} \dagger \hat{b}, \hat{H}_{2,2}\right]\right\rangle\right.$. The $C_{31}$ collision operator arises from commutators of the types $\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} b^{\dagger} \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b},\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}, \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} \hat{b} \hat{b}\right]\right]$ and $\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} \hat{b} \hat{b},\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}, \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}^{\dagger}{ }^{\dagger} \dagger \hat{b}\right]\right]$, coming from $\left\langle\left[\hat{H}_{3,1},\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}, \hat{H}_{3,1}\right]\right\rangle\right.$. Collecting (29) and the three collision operators $C_{12}, C_{22}, C_{31}$, we finally obtain the quantum Boltzmann equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T}[f]= & =\frac{4 \pi g^{2} n_{c}}{\hbar(2 \pi)^{3}} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p_{1} \mathrm{~d} p_{2} \mathrm{~d} p_{3} \delta\left(p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& \times\left(\delta\left(p-p_{1}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{2}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{3}\right)\right) \delta\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}\right) \\
& \times\left(K_{1,2,3}^{1,2}\right)^{2}\left[f_{2} f_{3}\left(f_{1}+1\right)-f_{1}\left(f_{2}+1\right)\left(f_{3}+1\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{\pi g^{2}}{\hbar(2 \pi)^{6}} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} f^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p_{1} \mathrm{~d} p_{2} \mathrm{~d} p_{3} \mathrm{~d} p_{4} \\
& \times\left(\delta\left(p-p_{1}\right)+\delta\left(p-p_{2}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{3}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{4}\right)\right) \\
& \times \delta\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right) \delta\left(p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{3}-p_{4}\right)\left(K_{1,2,3,4}^{2,2}\right)^{2} \\
& \times\left[f_{3} f_{4}\left(f_{2}+1\right)\left(f_{1}+1\right)-f_{1} f_{2}\left(f_{3}+1\right)\left(f_{4}+1\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{3 \pi g^{2}}{\hbar(2 \pi)^{6}} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p_{1} \mathrm{~d} p_{2} \mathrm{~d} p_{3} \mathrm{~d} p_{4} \\
& \times\left(\delta\left(p-p_{1}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{2}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{3}\right)-\delta\left(p-p_{4}\right)\right) \\
& \times \delta\left(p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3}-p_{4}\right) \delta\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}-\omega_{3}-\omega_{4}\right)\left(K_{1,2,3,4}^{3,1}\right)^{2} \\
& \times\left[f_{3} f_{4} f_{2}\left(f_{1}+1\right)-f_{1}\left(f_{2}+1\right)\left(f_{3}+1\right)\left(f_{4}+1\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

in which, the quantities $K_{1,2,3}^{1,2}, K_{1,2,3,4}^{2,2}$ and $K_{1,2,3,4}^{3,1}$ are defined in (9)(11). The quantity $n_{c}$ can be deduced from the solution of the GrossPitaevskii equation by (1).

## 4. The Gross-Pitaevskil equation

Similar with [6, 14, we also limit our analysis to the Popov approximation by setting $\tilde{m}=0$. From (25), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \hbar \frac{\partial \Upsilon(x, t)}{\partial t}=\left(-\frac{\hbar \nabla^{2}}{2 m}+g n_{c}(x, t)+2 g \tilde{n}(x, t)+U(x)\right)  \tag{30}\\
& \times \Upsilon(x, t)+g\left\langle\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(x, t) \hat{\psi}(x, t) \hat{\psi}(x, t)\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

To derive the generalized Gross-Pitaevskii equation, we only need to compute $g\left\langle\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(x, t) \hat{\psi}(x, t) \hat{\psi}(x, t)\right\rangle$ in (30). This quantity can be computed by exactly the same strategy used to compute $C_{12}$ (see also [16, 17]). As a consequence, we skip the details of this calculation and display only the final result, which also involves $C_{12}$ in its expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left\langle\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(x, t) \hat{\psi}(x, t) \hat{\psi}(x, t)\right\rangle=-\frac{i \hbar \Upsilon}{2 n_{c}} \int^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p C_{12}[f] \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging (31) into (30) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \hbar \frac{\partial \Upsilon(x, t)}{\partial t}=\left(-\frac{\hbar \nabla^{2}}{2 m}+g n_{c}(x, t)+2 g \tilde{n}(x, t)+U(x)\right) \\
& \times \Upsilon(x, t)-\frac{i \hbar \Upsilon}{2 n_{c}} \int^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p C_{12}[f] \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the same with (2). This is our Gross-Pitaevskii equation, in which, $\tilde{n}$ is computed using the solution of the quantum Boltzmann equation via (3) and $n_{c}$ is determined by (1). The form of $C_{12}$ is given in (6).

## 5. Conclusion

In this work, we have derived a coupling system that includes a GrossPitaevskii equation of motion for the condensate wave function and a quantum Boltzmann equation for the excitations. The model approaches the standard ZNG model when the temperature of the system $T$ is close to the Bose-Einstein Condensate (BEC) transition temperature $T_{c}$.
Acknowledgements. M.-B. Tran is partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-1814149, NSF Grant DMS-1854453, NSF CAREER DMS-2044626, SMU URC Grant 2020, Dedman College of Humanities and Sciences Linking Fellowship, and Alexander von Humboldt Fellowship. The authors would like to thank Prof. Kirkpatrick and Prof. Dorfman for discussions on the topic.

## 6. Appendix 1

We present below the explicit forms of $\hat{H}_{2}, \hat{H}_{3}$ and $\hat{H}_{4}$, which can be computed following the same argument with [21]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{2}=\hat{H}_{1,2}+\hat{H}_{3,0} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{H}_{1,2}=g \sqrt{\frac{n_{c}}{V}} \sum_{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \neq 0} \delta\left(p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3}\right) K_{1,2,3}^{1,2} \\
& \times\left(\hat{b}_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{2}} \hat{b}_{p_{3}}+\hat{b}_{p_{3}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{2}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{1}}\right) \\
& \hat{H}_{3,0}=g \sqrt{\frac{n_{c}}{V}} \sum_{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \neq 0} \delta\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}\right) \\
& \left.\times\left[K_{1,2,3}^{3,0} \hat{b}_{p_{3}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{2}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{1}}^{\dagger}+\hat{b}_{p_{1}} \hat{b}_{p_{2}} \hat{b}_{p_{3}}\right)\right] \\
& K_{1,2,3}^{3,0}=u_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}} v_{p_{3}}-v_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}} u_{p_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{H}_{3}=\hat{H}_{2,2}+\hat{H}_{1,1}+\hat{H}_{3,1}+\hat{H}_{3,1}^{\prime}+\hat{H}_{4,0} \\
& \hat{H}_{2,2}=\frac{g}{2 V} \sum_{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} \neq 0} \delta\left(p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{3}-p_{4}\right) K_{1,2,3,4}^{2,2} \\
& \times \hat{b}_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{2}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{3}} \hat{b}_{p_{4}} \\
& \hat{H}_{1,1}=\frac{g}{2 V} \sum_{p_{1}, p_{2} \neq 0} K_{1,2}^{1,1} \hat{b}_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{1}} \\
& K_{1,2}^{1,1}=4 v_{p_{1}}^{2} v_{p_{2}}^{2}+4 u_{p_{1}}^{2} v_{p_{2}}^{2}+4 u_{p_{1}} v_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}} v_{p_{2}} \\
& \hat{H}_{3,1}=\frac{g}{2 V} \sum_{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} \neq 0} \delta\left(p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3}-p_{4}\right) \\
& \times K_{1,2,3,4}^{3,1}\left[\hat{b}_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{2}} \hat{b}_{p_{3}} \hat{b}_{p_{4}}+\hat{b}_{p_{4}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{3}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{2}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{1}}\right] \\
& \hat{H}_{3,1}^{\prime}=\frac{g}{2 V} \sum_{p_{1}, p_{2} \neq 0}\left[\hat{b}_{p_{1}} \hat{b}_{-p_{1}} K_{1,2}^{2,0}+\hat{b}_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-p_{1}}^{\dagger} K_{1,2}^{2,0}\right] \\
& K_{1,2}^{2,0}=u_{p_{1}}^{2} u_{p_{2}} v_{p_{2}}+v_{p_{1}}^{2} u_{p_{2}} v_{p_{2}}+4 u_{p_{1}} v_{p_{1}} v_{p_{2}}^{2}, \\
& \hat{H}_{4,0}=\frac{g}{2 V} \sum_{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} \neq 0} \delta\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}+p_{4}\right) \\
& \times K_{1,2,3,4}^{4,0}\left[\hat{b}_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{2}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{3}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p_{4}}^{\dagger}+\hat{b}_{p_{1}} \hat{b}_{p_{2}} \hat{b}_{p_{3}} \hat{b}_{p_{4}}\right] \\
& K_{1,2,3,4}^{4,0}=u_{p_{1}} u_{p_{2}} v_{p_{3}} v_{p_{4}} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{H}_{4}=i \hbar n_{c}^{1 / 2} L_{n_{c}} \sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{\partial \vartheta_{p}}{\partial n_{c}}\left(\hat{b}_{p} \hat{b}_{-p}-\hat{b}_{p}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-p}^{\dagger}\right)  \tag{35}\\
- \\
\varrho=\sum_{p \neq 0} m \varrho\left[u_{p}^{2}+v_{p}^{2}\right] \hat{b}_{p}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{p}+\sum_{p \neq 0} m \varrho u_{p} v_{p}\left[\hat{b}_{p} \hat{b}_{-p}+\hat{b}_{p}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{-p}^{\dagger}\right] \\
\varrho= \\
-\frac{v^{2}}{2}-\frac{\hbar}{m} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}-g n_{c}, \quad L_{n_{c}}=\hbar^{-1} \operatorname{Im} S
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
S=\quad g \operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\rho}_{b} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}\right]+2 n_{c}^{1 / 2} g \operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\rho}_{b} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}\right]+n_{c}^{1 / 2} g \operatorname{Tr}\left[\hat{\rho}_{b} \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}\right]
$$
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